

The Missing Order Hypothesis in Wolf's Unitary Comparison

2026-08-24

Verdict: false-source (resolved).

1 The assertion

Let $A, B \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$ be Hermitian, and write $\lambda_1^\downarrow(A), \dots, \lambda_d^\downarrow(A)$ for the eigenvalues of A in decreasing order. The paragraph preceding Wolf's Proposition 5.3 assumes $A \leq B$ and applies Weyl's monotonicity theorem in the form

$$\lambda_j^\downarrow(B) \geq \lambda_j^\downarrow(A) + \lambda_d^\downarrow(B - A), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d. \quad (1)$$

This is Equation (5.56) of Ref. [Wol12]. Since $B - A \geq 0$, its smallest eigenvalue is nonnegative. Hence

$$\lambda_j^\downarrow(A) \leq \lambda_j^\downarrow(B), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d. \quad (2)$$

Proposition 5.3 then drops the hypothesis $A \leq B$. It claims that arbitrary Hermitian $A, B \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$ admit a unitary U , independent of f , such that

$$f(A) \leq U f(B) U^\dagger \quad (3)$$

for every non-decreasing function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where I is an interval containing both spectra. This is Equation (5.57) of Ref. [Wol12].¹

2 The point at issue

The printed proposition is false. In dimension one, set

$$A = [1], \quad B = [0], \quad I = [0, 1], \quad f(x) = x. \quad (4)$$

¹Source: [Wol12, Proposition 5.3 and Equations (5.56)–(5.57)]; local source `Notes/WolfNo`
`teTexSource/ch05_schwarz_inequalities.tex`, lines 1119–1135. Tracked in GitHub issue
#27.

Every one-dimensional unitary is a scalar phase, so

$$Uf(B)U^\dagger = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$f(A) \leq Uf(B)U^\dagger \iff 1 \leq 0. \quad (6)$$

Thus the hypotheses printed in Proposition 5.3 of Ref. [Wol12] do not imply its conclusion.

The source proof also requires the omitted order assumption. Equation (5.56) of Ref. [Wol12] assumes $A \leq B$, and this assumption yields the ordered eigenvalue comparison (2). Without it, the proof supplies no comparison between the two ordered spectra.

3 The corrected statement and source proof

The source argument proves the following corrected proposition:

$$A \leq B \implies \exists U \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \left[U^\dagger U = UU^\dagger = \mathbb{1} \right. \quad (7)$$

$$\wedge \forall I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \forall f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\left(I \text{ is an interval} \wedge \text{spec}(A) \cup \text{spec}(B) \subseteq I \wedge f \text{ is non-decreasing on } I \right) \\ \implies f(A) \leq Uf(B)U^\dagger \Big]. \quad (8)$$

The unitary is chosen before the interval and the function, so the same U works for every admissible I and f .

Choose unitaries V_A and V_B whose columns are eigenvectors arranged in decreasing eigenvalue order. Then

$$A = V_A \text{diag}(\lambda_1^\downarrow(A), \dots, \lambda_d^\downarrow(A)) V_A^\dagger, \quad (9)$$

$$B = V_B \text{diag}(\lambda_1^\downarrow(B), \dots, \lambda_d^\downarrow(B)) V_B^\dagger. \quad (10)$$

The order hypothesis and Equation (5.56) of Ref. [Wol12] give (2). Monotonicity of f therefore implies

$$f(\lambda_j^\downarrow(A)) \leq f(\lambda_j^\downarrow(B)), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d. \quad (11)$$

Set

$$U = V_A V_B^\dagger. \quad (12)$$

Functional calculus in the two eigenbases gives

$$f(A) = V_A \text{diag}(f(\lambda_1^\downarrow(A)), \dots, f(\lambda_d^\downarrow(A))) V_A^\dagger, \quad (13)$$

$$Uf(B)U^\dagger = V_A \text{diag}(f(\lambda_1^\downarrow(B)), \dots, f(\lambda_d^\downarrow(B))) V_A^\dagger. \quad (14)$$

The diagonal comparison (11), transported by the unitary congruence $X \mapsto V_A X V_A^\dagger$, proves (8).

4 Formalization

The cross-matrix Weyl comparison is formalized by `LinearMap.IsSymmetric.eigenvalues_le_of_sub_isPositive`, with matrix forms `Matrix.IsHermitian.eigenvalues_mono` and `Matrix.IsHermitian.eigenvalues_mono`.² Its finite-dimensional variational proof intersects the span of the first $j + 1$ eigenvectors of A with the span of the last $d - j$ eigenvectors of B . Their dimensions sum to $d + 1$, so they contain a common nonzero vector x . The ordered spectral expansions and positivity of $B - A$ yield

$$\lambda_j^\downarrow(A)\|x\|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re}\langle Ax|x\rangle, \quad (15)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax|x\rangle \leq \operatorname{Re}\langle Bx|x\rangle, \quad (16)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Bx|x\rangle \leq \lambda_j^\downarrow(B)\|x\|^2. \quad (17)$$

Since $x \neq 0$, these inequalities prove the required cross-matrix eigenvalue comparison.

The corrected proposition is formalized by `Matrix.IsHermitian.exists_unitary_cfc_le_cfc_of_le`.³ The proof chooses the unitary from the two decreasingly ordered eigenbases and uses the formalized Weyl comparison for every interval and every non-decreasing function.

5 Conclusion

Wolf’s Proposition 5.3 is false as printed because it omits $A \leq B$. The scalar example (4) satisfies the printed hypotheses and contradicts the conclusion. Adding $A \leq B$ makes the proposition follow from Equation (5.56) of Ref. [Wol12]: compare the ordered spectra, apply scalar monotonicity of f , and align the eigenbases with one unitary. Both the cross-matrix Weyl comparison and this corrected unitary comparison are formalized without assuming a pointwise eigenvalue comparison.

References

- [Wol12] Michael M. Wolf. Quantum channels & operations: Guided tour. Lecture notes, 2012.

²Formal module: `QIClean/Analysis/WeylMonotonicity`.

³Formal module: `QIClean/Channel/Schwarz/UnitaryComparison`.