

The Inverse on the Range in the Two-Variable Operator Schwarz Inequality

2026-08-21

Verdict: open-gap (resolved).

1 Source statement

Let

$$T: M_d(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{d'}(\mathbb{C}), \quad E = T^*: M_{d'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{C}), \quad (1)$$

where T is two-positive and E is its trace adjoint. Wolf's Theorem 5.3 asserts that, for every $A, B \in M_{d'}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$E(A^\dagger B)E(B^\dagger B)^{-1}E(B^\dagger A) \leq E(A^\dagger A), \quad (2)$$

where the inverse is taken on the range of $E(B^\dagger B)$ [Wol12, Chapter 5, Theorem 5.3].¹

Rectangular two-positivity is invariant under the trace adjoint, so $E = T^*$ is also two-positive. The formal theorem retains the independent input and output dimensions used by Wolf and assumes no additional range witness. It represents the inverse on the range by the Moore–Penrose inverse.

2 The range inverse

Define

$$P = E(A^\dagger A), \quad Z = E(A^\dagger B), \quad R = E(B^\dagger B). \quad (3)$$

Two-positivity of E gives the block inequality

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & Z \\ Z^\dagger & R \end{pmatrix} \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

¹Local source: `Notes/WolfNoteTexSource/ch05_schwarz_inequalities.tex`, lines 180–190.

The kernel clause of the Schur-complement theorem applied to Eq. 4 gives

$$\ker R \subseteq \ker Z. \quad (5)$$

Let R^+ denote the Moore–Penrose inverse of R , and define

$$s_R = RR^+ \quad (6)$$

$$= R^+R. \quad (7)$$

Because $R \geq 0$, s_R is the orthogonal projection onto $\text{ran } R = (\ker R)^\perp$. The kernel inclusion in Eq. 5 is equivalent to the support-absorption identities

$$Zs_R = Z, \quad (8)$$

$$s_R Z^\dagger = Z^\dagger. \quad (9)$$

Consequently,

$$R(R^+Z^\dagger) = (RR^+)Z^\dagger \quad (10)$$

$$= s_R Z^\dagger \quad (11)$$

$$= Z^\dagger. \quad (12)$$

For every vector v , the vector $R^+Z^\dagger v$ is therefore a preimage of $Z^\dagger v$ under R . Equation 12 gives exactly the inverse-on-the-range operation required in Eq. 2. No separate range–kernel duality argument is needed.

The formal proof establishes Eq. 5, then Eqs. 8 and 9, and finally Eq. 12.

3 Derivation of the inequality

Fix a vector v and define

$$w = R^+Z^\dagger v. \quad (13)$$

Equation 12 gives

$$Rw = Z^\dagger v. \quad (14)$$

The pseudoinverse-free quadratic-form consequence of Eq. 4 then yields

$$v^\dagger P v \geq v^\dagger Z w \quad (15)$$

$$= v^\dagger Z R^+ Z^\dagger v. \quad (16)$$

Because this inequality holds for every v ,

$$Z R^+ Z^\dagger \leq P. \quad (17)$$

Substituting the definitions from Eq. 3 into Eq. 17 gives Eq. 2, with R^+ realizing the inverse on the range.²

²Formal declaration: `schwarz_two_variable_unconditional_of_traceAdjointMap` in the namespace `SchwarzTwoVariable`.

4 Verdict

No hypothesis or dimensional restriction remains relative to Wolf’s Theorem 5.3 [Wol12, Chapter 5, Theorem 5.3]. The formal theorem records the positive map as the trace adjoint $E = T^*$ of a two-positive reverse-direction map T . Rectangular two-positivity of E follows from invariance under the trace adjoint. The Moore–Penrose inverse realizes Wolf’s “inverse on the range”, and the required range inclusion follows from support-projection absorption. The earlier proposed Euclidean range–kernel-duality argument is unnecessary. The former gap is therefore resolved.

References

- [Wol12] Michael M. Wolf. Quantum channels & operations: Guided tour. Lecture notes, 2012.