

The Nonvanishing Partner in Wolf's Kramers Theorem II

2026-08-24

Verdict: false-source (resolved).

1 The assertion

Wolf's Kramers theorem II concerns a Hermitian matrix H and a nonzero anti-symmetric matrix A satisfying an intertwining relation. It asserts that

$$H = H^\dagger, \quad A^T = -A, \quad A \neq 0, \quad HA = AH^T \implies \dim \ker(H - \lambda \mathbf{1}) \geq 2 \text{ for every eigenvalue } \lambda \text{ of } H. \quad (1)$$

This is the statement printed as Chapter 3, Theorem 3.2, "Kramer's theorem II", in Ref. [Wol12].¹

The theorem is presented as a relaxation of Wolf's first Kramers theorem [Wol12, Chapter 3, Theorem 3.1]. That theorem assumes that a Hermitian matrix H commutes with an anti-unitary operator T satisfying $T^2 = -\mathbf{1}$. Writing $T = \Gamma V$, where Γ is complex conjugation and V is unitary, gives

$$HV^\dagger = V^\dagger H^T, \quad V^T = -V. \quad (2)$$

Wolf then states that "the restriction on the required symmetry in the theorem can be relaxed as the same proof shows" [Wol12, Chapter 3, Theorems 3.1–3.2].²

2 The point at issue

Let $|\psi\rangle \neq 0$ satisfy

$$H|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle. \quad (3)$$

¹Local source: `Notes/WolfNoteTexSource/ch03_positive_not_completely.tex`, lines 527–530. Tracked in GitHub issue #17.

²Local source: lines 498–501 for the matrix reduction and lines 523–525 for the relaxation claim.

Because H is Hermitian, λ is real. Complex conjugation of Eq. 3 therefore gives

$$H^T |\bar{\psi}\rangle = \overline{H|\psi\rangle} \quad (4)$$

$$= \lambda |\bar{\psi}\rangle. \quad (5)$$

The intertwining relation then yields

$$HA|\bar{\psi}\rangle = AH^T|\bar{\psi}\rangle \quad (6)$$

$$= \lambda A|\bar{\psi}\rangle. \quad (7)$$

Thus $A|\bar{\psi}\rangle$ is either zero or an eigenvector of H with eigenvalue λ .

Anti-symmetry also gives

$$\langle \psi | A \bar{\psi} \rangle = \bar{\psi}^T A \bar{\psi} \quad (8)$$

$$= \bar{\psi}^T A^T \bar{\psi} \quad (9)$$

$$= -\bar{\psi}^T A \bar{\psi} \quad (10)$$

$$= 0. \quad (11)$$

The second equality uses the fact that a scalar equals its transpose. Equations 7 and 11 establish the transport and orthogonality steps in the printed proof. They do not establish that the partner is nonzero. In the first Kramers theorem, the partner is $V^\dagger |\bar{\psi}\rangle$, which cannot vanish because V is unitary. A general nonzero anti-symmetric matrix A may have a nontrivial kernel.

A counterexample occurs on $\mathbb{C}^3$. Define

$$H = \text{diag}(0, 1, 1), \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

These matrices satisfy

$$H = H^\dagger, \quad A^T = -A, \quad A \neq 0, \quad (13)$$

$$HA = AH^T = A. \quad (14)$$

The nonzero rows and columns of A lie in the 1-eigenspace of H , which explains Eq. 14. The eigenvalue 0 is simple, with eigenvector e_1 , and its proposed partner vanishes:

$$He_1 = 0, \quad \dim \ker H = 1, \quad A\bar{e}_1 = Ae_1 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Thus the hypotheses of Eq. 1 hold while its conclusion fails.

3 The corrected statement

For an eigenvector $|\psi\rangle$ with eigenvalue λ , the calculation proves the exact conditional statement

$$A|\bar{\psi}\rangle \neq 0 \implies \dim \ker(H - \lambda \mathbf{1}) \geq 2. \quad (16)$$

Under the hypothesis in Eq. 16, Eqs. 7 and 11 provide two nonzero orthogonal eigenvectors with eigenvalue λ .

A global sufficient condition is injectivity of A . More precisely,

$$H = H^\dagger, \quad A^T = -A, \quad HA = AH^T, \quad \ker A = \{0\}, \quad (17)$$

$$\dim \ker(H - \lambda \mathbb{1}) \geq 2 \quad \text{for every eigenvalue } \lambda \text{ of } H. \quad (18)$$

Here the first line implies the second. In finite dimensions, $\ker A = \{0\}$ is equivalent to invertibility.

If A is a $d \times d$ anti-symmetric matrix, then

$$\det A = \det A^T \quad (19)$$

$$= \det(-A) \quad (20)$$

$$= (-1)^d \det A. \quad (21)$$

Consequently, an invertible anti-symmetric matrix can exist only in even dimension. This agrees with Wolf’s observation that anti-symmetric unitaries exist only in even dimensions [Wol12, Chapter 3, discussion following Theorem 3.1].³

The first Kramers theorem is the invertible case of Eqs. 17–18. For $T = \Gamma V$, set $A = V^\dagger$. The relation $V^T = -V$ and unitarity imply $A^T = -A$, while unitarity also makes A invertible. The corrected theorem II therefore specializes to theorem I using Wolf’s proof [Wol12, Chapter 3, Theorems 3.1–3.2].

4 The formalization

The corrected development records transport, orthogonality, the conditional theorem, the invertible global corollary, and both forms of the first Kramers theorem.⁴ The formal statements express degeneracy by the lower bound $2 \leq \dim$ on the eigenspace. For Hermitian matrices, geometric and algebraic multiplicities coincide, so this agrees with the printed phrase “two-fold degenerate”. The false statement in Eq. 1 is deliberately not formalized.

5 Conclusion

Kramers theorem II is false as printed in Ref. [Wol12]. The condition $A \neq 0$ does not prevent the partner $A|\bar{\psi}\rangle$ from vanishing. The exact local conclusion

³Local source, lines 523–524.

⁴Formal development: `QIClean/Algebra/KramersDegeneracy`. Transport: `Matrix.IsHermitian.mulVec_star_intertwiner_of_mul_eq_mul_transpose`; orthogonality: `Matrix.dotProduct_star_mulVec_star_eq_zero_of_transpose_eq_neg`; conditional theorem: `Matrix.IsHermitian.two_le_finrank_eigenspace_of_intertwiner_mulVec_star_ne_zero`; invertible global corollary: `Matrix.IsHermitian.two_le_finrank_eigenspace_of_antisymmetric_isUnit`; theorem I in matrix form, obtained with $A = V^\dagger$: `Matrix.IsHermitian.two_le_finrank_eigenspace_of_antisymmetric_unitary`; theorem I under the printed anti-unitary hypotheses: `Matrix.IsHermitian.two_le_finrank_eigenspace_of_antiunitary`.

is Eq. 16, and the global degeneracy conclusion follows under the invertibility condition in Eq. 17. These corrected statements resolve the source error.

References

- [Wol12] Michael M. Wolf. Quantum channels & operations: Guided tour. Lecture notes, 2012.